

FILING DATE

APPLICATION, NUMBER



FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

JOSEPH A SAWYER JR SAMYER & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94304  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER 2712  DATE MAILED: 10/21/99  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) A beig M. Harrington (3) Steplen Scilliu An  (2) And Vicu Christensin (4)  Date of Interview 10 18199  Type: A Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papilicant applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Kno If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Identification of prior art discussed: Palul skie at A. (5, 183, 1878), Skin berg  (5; 862, 218) and Nalano of Al (5,043,814)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralytic a Skin barg.  (Collecture many lature of may be in a display cause Applicated Affording for a comment of the paralytic and par	Ø8/702,286 Ø8/23/96	ANDERSON	E	P1969/JAS-55	
JOSEPH A SAMYER & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94304  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  ARTUNIT PAPERNUMBER  2712  JO  DATE MAILED: 10/21/99  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) A beig M. Harring Good (3) Steplen Sufficient's representative)  (2) And Vecu Christensin (4)  Dete of Interview   O   18   97  Type: A felephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached & was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent Claims  Identification of prior art discussed:   Palul she et al. (5, 183, 678), Stein berg  (5, 8102, 218) and Nalune of al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paraletic a Slan barg.  COCCINING to applicant at any analysis of a duythal causa. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Paraletic a Slan barg.  COCCINING to applicant at any analysis of a duythal causa. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an any and which would render the claims allowable is available, as summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is					
JOSEPH A SAMYER & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94304  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  ARTUNIT PAPERNUMBER  2712  JO  DATE MAILED: 10/21/99  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) A beig M. Harring Good (3) Steplen Sufficient's representative)  (2) And Vecu Christensin (4)  Dete of Interview   O   18   97  Type: A felephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached & was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent Claims  Identification of prior art discussed:   Palul she et al. (5, 183, 678), Stein berg  (5, 8102, 218) and Nalune of al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paraletic a Slan barg.  COCCINING to applicant at any analysis of a duythal causa. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Paraletic a Slan barg.  COCCINING to applicant at any analysis of a duythal causa. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an any and which would render the claims allowable is available, as summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is					
SAWYER & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94304  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Levy M. Hawring (3) Stephen Scilliu An  (2) And Vice Christenson (4)  Date of Interview [O] ISI 99  Type: A felephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes Ano If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Agreement   was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Participation of Selentary.  Collecture   Applicant attended   and animal advantage   advantage   Applicant   advantage   Applicant    Applicant attended   animal advantage   advantage		LM61/1021			
Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claim (S. S. S. 2. 218) and Nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Particle is a Stendary of Collecture to applicant a processary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary to the last office.  Interview Summary  Date Mailed: 10/21/99  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  (3) Steplan See Her See Held A.  (4) Date of Interview 10 118 1979  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papilicant applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Kno If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claim S.  Identification of prior and discussed: Palul ske at A. (5, 183, 678), Stein berg.  (5, 8/62, 218) and Nature of all (5, 043, 8/6)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Particle S. Stein berg.  (6) Stein berg.  (7) Stein berg.  (6) Stein berg.  (7) Stein berg.  (7) Stein berg.  (8) Stein berg.  (9) Stein berg.  (9) Stein berg.  (18) All stein berg.  (18) The LAST OFFICE ACTION is Not WAINED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUE NETWINE. HEINTERVIEW.			HARRINGTON, A		
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) A bella M. Have in Gon (3) Steplan Sufficient's representative).  (2) Analyze Christenson (4)  Date of Interview   Gelevideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No II yes, brief description:      Agreement   was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Identification of prior art discussed: Pall size It al. (5, 043, 478), Steinberg  (ECCOTCHUME) Applicant of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Paralelic a Steinberg.  (ECCOTCHUME) Applicant at through full size It al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Paralelic a Steinberg.  (ECCOTCHUME) Applicant at through fulls to the apparently observed through fulls and the standard of the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary th					
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) A be a M. Harring of N (3) Steplan Sullivan  (2) And Christenson (4)  Date of Interview [10] 18199  Type: Telephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent Claims  Identification of prior and discussed:   Palulific at al. (5, 133, 1678), Steinberg  (6, 862, 218) and Nalano of al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Paralatic a Steinberg  (80Currium to applicant at the applicant at any and a copy of the amendments of any and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, at a reply to the last Office.				PAPER NUMBER	
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) A buy M. Harrington (3) Stephen Scillu Ad (2) Analysis of Missing M. Harrington (4)  Date of Interview 10 15 199  Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to paplicant paplicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Ano If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent claims  Identification of prior art discussed: Papulsic et al. (5, 133, 178), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano otal (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paraletic a Stenberg.  County to applicant attended to many and the papuls of the paralety of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paraletic a Stenberg.  County to applicant attended to many and the papuls of the paralety of the paralet			1 / 2.1		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) About M. Harking (Ton) (3) Stephen South and Marking of Marking o			DATE MAILED:	10/21/99	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Analytic M. Harrington (3) Stephen Sullivan  (2) Analytic Christinson (4)  Date of Interview 10   18   99  Type: Stelephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes Ano If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Identification of prior and discussed: Pallisiu It al. (5, 133, 1678), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano ot al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulakic a Sleubarg.  Cocurcius to applicant attention and and the that apparently overang  Afullor description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), if a reply to the last Office		INTERVIEW SUMMARY	JA 12 11171225.		
(2) Analyze Christenson (4)  Date of Interview _ O   18   97  Type: Telephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No   If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached.   Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent   Claims    Identification of prior art discussed:   Parul she et al. (5, 23, 678), Steinberg    (5, 862, 218) and Naleno otal (5,043,816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parul she a Steinberg    COCUMENT   applicant attempt fails to Jeach and my but    COllecture manipulation of many which adjusted the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable    Afuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable was the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAITED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
Date of Interview 10 18 99  Type: Stelephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No   If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached.   & was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent   Claims  Identification of prior art discussed:   Palulake It al. (5, 133, (278), Skinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalamo otal (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulake a Skinbarg.  Concording to applicant at the reached and any with a day of a day that come a. Application of prior art discussed:   Androm' but the collection manipulation at may will a day that any area of the collection manipulation at any any with the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable.  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  Left is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):	•		
Date of Interview 10 18 99  Type: Stelephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No   If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached.   & was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent   Claims  Identification of prior art discussed:   Palulake It al. (5, 133, (278), Skinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalamo otal (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulake a Skinbarg.  Concording to applicant at the reached and any with a day of a day that come a. Application of prior art discussed:   Androm' but the collection manipulation at may will a day that any area of the collection manipulation at any any with the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable.  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  Left is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	(1) Alexa M. Harris	CIAN (3) Shalan	South		
Date of Interview_ O 18 99  Type: Stelephonic   Televideo Conference   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No   If yes, brief description:    Agreement   was reached.   & was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:   All independent   Cauns    Identification of prior art discussed:   Palulakie et al. (5, 183, 1878)   , Steinberg    (5, 8/22, 2/8) and Nalano of al (5, 043, 8/6)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of what was agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Parulakie a Steinberg    Collecture   The general nature of w	^ -	•	- Jan 110 An	<u> </u>	
Type: Delephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes DNo If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Dwas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Cains  Identification of prior art discussed: Palulske et al. (5, 133, 1278), Steinberg  (5, 8/62, 218) and Nalano of al. (5, 043, 8/6)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulskie a Skenbarg.  Concording to applicant afterney fails to feach and my fails to feach and my fails and day for such a fail of the substance of the interview.  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the cla	(2) Amolivee Christense	<u>~ (4)</u>			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes KNo If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Identification of prior art discussed: Paulsin et al. (5, 133, (278)), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano of al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  Collecture to appleant after a factor of a digital comes. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  Collecture to appleant after a factor of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  Collecture to appleant after a factor of the general nature of what was agreed to in any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Bis, on the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Date of Interview 10 18 199				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes KNo If yes, brief description:  Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Identification of prior art discussed: Paulsin et al. (5, 133, (278)), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano of al. (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  Collecture to appleant after a factor of a digital comes. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  Collecture to appleant after a factor of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  Collecture to appleant after a factor of the general nature of what was agreed to in any other comments: Paralolic a Steinberg.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Bis, on the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Type: Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (conv is given to Pannlicant Pannlicant's representative)				
Agreement was reached. Awas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: All independent Claims  Identification of prior and discussed: Papulake It al. (5, 183, 1678), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano otal (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulake a Steinberg.  Coccordum to appleante atterney facts to teach random but a Collecture manipulation of may a wife a dight comen. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulake a Steinberg.  Collecture manipulation of may wife a dight comen. Application of the general nature of what apparently overang and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  But is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
Claim(s) discussed: All independent Clauns Identification of prior and discussed: Palulain It al. (5, 133, 1678), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano ot al (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulatic a Skinkurg.  Cocordup to applicant attancy fails to teach andom but apparently overlass to the adjusting a digital comment. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulatic a Skinkurg.  Collecture manipulation and mays with a digital comment. But a digital comments and a digital comments and a digital comments. Application of the comments and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes No If yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: All independent Clains Identification of prior and discussed: Palulair It al. (5, 133, 1678), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano ot al (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulatic a Skinkery.  Cocordulate applicant attempt fails to track andom but substance of the interview.  Collecture manipulatin a many wife a depth amandment that apparently average of the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So where paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
Claim(s) discussed: All independent Clains Identification of prior and discussed: Palulair It al. (5, 133, 1678), Steinberg  (5, 862, 218) and Nalano ot al (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulatic a Skinkery.  Cocordulate applicant attempt fails to track andom but substance of the interview.  Collecture manipulatin a many wife a depth amandment that apparently average of the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So where paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Agrapment Turas reached Xuna not reached				
Identification of prior art discussed: Palliske et al. (5, 183, 1678), Steinberg (5, 862, 218) and Nalano otal (5, 043, 816)  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulskie a Skinberg. (Coccording to applicant attempts fails to teach random' but applicant attempts and mays which a depth comea. Application of literature procuments at an aniend of the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable of a transcription, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  Bit is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulaki a Skeriburg.  Collecture manipulatin of images with a digital comers. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulaki a Skeriburg.  Collecture manipulatin of images with a digital comers. Application of the following the commence of the commence of the commence of the commence of the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached.)  (B) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulaki a Skeriburg.  Collecture manipulatin of images with a digital comers. Application of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulaki a Skeriburg.  Collecture manipulatin of images with a digital comers. Application of the following the commence of the commence of the commence of the commence of the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached.)  (B) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Identification of prior art discussed: Paulskie et al. (5, 123, 1278), Steinberg				
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parulshi a Skurburg.  Collectus to applicant attempt fails to teach random but  Collectus manipulating may may with a duytal amendment. Application  Affines recommended an amendment that apparently average  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  Let it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
Collectus manipulate of the mays with a dight comer. Appliests  Showner recommended an amendment that apparently overeng  Afuncy recommended an amendment that apparently overeng  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  This not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), If a reply to the last Office					
Collecture manipulation of images with a digital comes. Application of the substance of the interview.  Collecture manipulation of indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Description of the general nature of what was agree	ed to if an agreement was reached, or any ot	her comments: Pan	1310 a Stenberg	
Collecture manipulation of mays wif a digital comes. Application of the commence of the amendment of the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	according to appleante afternes fails to Jeach random " but				
Afthrey reconnected an amendment that apparently overaged of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	white the state of the state of the contract of the state				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	Afterneen recommende	el an amendment	Chat app	parently overry	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	- 0.00	0 0	<i>f</i> 1.0		
must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	priore and & racher. I	a canen win	CONSIDER H	White Gla O	
attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the	amendments, if available, which the examin	ner agreed would render	the claims allowable	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amer attached.)	ndments which would render the claims allow	vable is available, a sum	mary thereof must be	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office		senarate record of the substance of the inten	viow		
IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office				COEFICE ACTION	
action has are ready been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBS	STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP	Section 713.04). If a repl	ly to the last Office	
	action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIV SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	/EN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW	DATE TO FILE A STATI	EMENT OF THE	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.





Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
  of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
  contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c) ).

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.